

Record of proceedings dated 04.02.2021

O. P. No. 72 of 2016

M/s. Kallam Spinning Mills Limited Vs. TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking directions to the DISCOMs to procure power from its hydel project.

Sri P. Srinivasa Rao, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee along with Sri K. Sathish Kumar, DE TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter involves procurement of power for the balance capacity of the project by the DISCOMS or else permitting it to sell to third parties by exempting payment of transmission and cross subsidy charges. He also stated that the counter affidavit is yet to be filed and the PPA for the present capacity is expiring within a period of one year. The representative of the DISCOMs stated that he needs time to file counter affidavit of six weeks. On being questioned that it is a matter where two years have already passed, the representative sought atleast four weeks time to file counter affidavit.

Considering the request of the respondents, the matter is adjourned. The counter affidavit shall be filed on or before 01.03.2021 by duly serving a copy of the same to the counsel for the petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner may file rejoinder, if any, on or before 08.03.2021 by duly serving a copy of the same to the respondents. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 98 of 2018

in

O. P. No. 21 of 2017

TSSPDCL Vs. None

Review petition filed seeking review of the cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge order dated 27.03.2018 passed in O. P. No. 21 of 2017.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee along with Sri K. Sathish Kumar, DE TSSPDCL for the review petitioner have appeared through video conference. The representative of the petitioner stated and explained the reasons for filing the review petition against the order determining the cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge for FY 2018-19. He also explained various figures and details regarding the levy of cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge in terms of the policy of the Government of India. It is his case that the Commission is required to review the order insofar as the above said charges are concerned as they are not in line with the policy of the government as also contrary to the earlier determination. He sought consideration of the factual matrix regarding the figures arrived at by the Commission in the orders and they need to re-fix them in view of the financial aspects projected by the DISCOMs in the petition. Having heard the submission, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 99 of 2018
in
O. P. No. 21 of 2017
TSSPDCL Vs. None

Review petition filed seeking review of the cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge order dated 27.03.2018 passed in O. P. No. 22 of 2017.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee along with Sri K. Sathish Kumar, DE TSSPDCL for the review petitioner have appeared through video conference. The representative of the petitioner stated and explained the reasons for filing the review petition against the order determining the retail supply tariff for FY 2018-19. The Divisional Engineer on being allowed stated that the DISCOMs have various issues with regard to power purchases, consideration of various tariff proposals and subsidy component. The Commission considered it appropriate that the submissions cannot be completed and were inaudible, hence adjourned the matter.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 127 of 2018
in
O. P. No. 37 of 2018

TSNPDCL Vs. M/s. Mytrah Agriya Power Private Limited

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 20.08.2018 passed in O. P. No. 37 of 2018.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee TSNPDCL for the review petitioner and Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the respondent have appeared through video conference. The representative of the review petitioner stated that the Commission had passed final orders in the main petition during the pendency of the review petition. The review petition itself was filed in respect of interim order passed by the Commission directing synchronization of the project. The matter itself was disposed of and order has been implemented duly amending the power purchase agreement. Hence nothing survives in the matter and the same may be closed. Recording the submissions of the representative of the review petitioner, the matter stands as not pressed and closed.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R. P. (SR) No. 128 of 2018
in
O. P. No. 27 of 2018

TSSPDCL Vs. M/s. Mytrah Aakash Power Private Limited

Review petition filed seeking review of the order dated 20.08.2018 passed in O. P. No. 27 of 2018.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee TSSPDCL for the review petitioner and Sri Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the respondent have appeared through video conference. The representative of the review petitioner stated that the Commission had passed final orders in the main petition during the pendency of the review petition. The review petition itself was filed in respect of interim order passed by the Commission directing synchronization of the project. The matter itself was disposed of and order has been implemented duly amending the power purchase agreement. Hence nothing survives in the matter and

the same may be closed. Recording the submissions of the representative of the review petitioner, the matter stands as not pressed and closed.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman